IDENTIFYING THE REASONS BEHIND TEAM INEFFECTIVENESS

FAHAD HAMED ALGHAMDI

Point Park University, United States

ABSTRACT

Team performance and team building is known as an old concepts in some organizations. Team performance and team buildings are the same because teams lead towards competitive advantage by growing profitability and endorsement of monetary objectives. Triumph of a business is dependent on real models rather than financial statistics or historical. Effective teams may direct towards final success. The diversification in the workplace is always considered as a good technique towards the business forecasting for profitability. Different procedures might be used for gathering success factors. The team building by a proper framework is a way of acquiring the superiority over other employees at the same workplace. The best formulated team and their relationship with the leaders for integrated strategies is supportive so that the evaluation and accountability may ensure with the immediate and immediate decision making. Team is the next level of group, and group always support the usage of skills at big levels in vertical and horizontal manners. It can be said that the only one way to deal with the challenges in team building is to employ dynamic level of leadership.

KEYWORDS: Effectiveness

INTRODUCTION

The creation of effective teams through the efficient use of resources at major level has been studied for some past decades. It is matter of study for the sake of obtaining the success at enterprise level and this literature has been in knowledge for long. There are many enterprises and organizations in history that always consider the role of team building, team activities, team understanding and team outcomes a major contribution towards the whole database of success data in organizations at major level. Team performance and team building was considered as old concepts. Team performance and team buildings are not separate from one another because teams lead towards competitive advantage by increasing profitability and endorsement of capital centered objectives. Purpose of this study is to identify reasons behind team ineffectiveness by comparing team performance and team building.

In history the same concepts of the leadership is the same and mostly identical in exploring the nature of team and team building concepts with reality based models and theoretical backgrounds required for team building studies. Team building and team performance assessment provides the integrity between the promise, the problems, and the solution. (CULBERT, 2008) The whole team building required the coherence between these two elements as history also endorse it. The purpose of this report is to the identifying the reasons behind teams and team ineffectiveness and result of team ineffectiveness in performance of objective centered activities.

Reasoning and Supporting Evidences
Proper Groundwork for Team Building

Various steps have been followed by organizations for success of team effectiveness. These steps should be followed by organizations for building conceptual framework. These frameworks should include teams with similar

interests. Success of business depends on reality based models rather than historical or financial statistics. The major aspect that was covered under the agenda of proper framework is needed to be revamped through concepts and building authorities in real manners. (Gratton & Erickson, 2007)

The powerful aspects that may endorse the performance of groups, team and interest based individuals for the sake of knowledge sharing, skills collaborating and multitasking beyond the immediate skills are some key agendas in establishing the framework for whole team building process. (Innovativeteambuilding.co.uk, 2014) It is very important to understand challenges for building effective teams. Effective teams can lead towards ultimate success. The diversification at workplace without compromise upon the expertise of individuals is always considered as a good approach towards the business forecasting the profitability. (Gratton & Erickson, 2007)

Let's suppose the agenda of acquisition creates some sort of acquisition at organization level support the real maxim for the profitability ratio and maximization of share of stakeholders at venture. The initiative that has been very effective while initiating the acquisition is to get familiar with the activities related to team building and team acquisition to obtain information so that the whole information against the team performance and evaluation can be shared with all accountability measures at once. It is necessary know about different all aspects about the teams and their effectiveness. Different measures should be used for combining success factors. (Gratton & Erickson, 2007)

Teams with Small and Consistent Member Ship

The other aspects that can undo the team inefficiencies at a major level can gain through consistent behavior and this attitude can be obtained by exploring research. Reward systems and rewarding at major level of corporation for the sake of operations and activities effectiveness provides a way of thinking about the prospective success of team that also endorse the justified way of success. (MAnchester Matropoliton University, 2014)

The consistent behavior of management in rewarding the people and reviewing the process provides a framework for development of team essence even in individuals. The people according to historical theory showed the dynamic approach for the sake of getting supervisor for their task accomplishment. (MAnchester Matropoliton University, 2014)

Team building creates the small sense of team and one can say the team building feelings that are sufficient in building teams rather than the team building at physical grounds that cannot ensure the success of an objective acquisition. The team and team building through proper framework is another way to think for the sake of acquiring the superiority over other employee at the same workplace.(MAnchester Matropoliton University, 2014)

The small membership may enhance the level of effectiveness intrinsically because the specific behavior of organization at major level creates the specific initiated agenda so that the whole acquisition of team can provide the ultimate success factor. The recognition at workplace is a way to get hired under the member ship. (MAnchester Matropoliton University, 2014)

Diffusion of Roles and Responsibilities

The amalgamation of different roles and multiple skills at major level across team is necessary to remove the chances of ineffectiveness of a team. Team ineffectiveness caused loss for corporations and effectiveness caused profit. But the real profit making activities is not so simple in creation of value addition chain at major level. Therefore, many roles have to revamp through pragmatic measures that may enhance the level of understating at venture level. (Gratton &

Erickson, 2007)

This practice is very eye catching against many organizations. There are two ultimate and hidden agenda also attach with such practice. For example, Nike, hired a child labor and made ineffective role of corporate social responsibility. Child labor is targeted at biggest level. But the organization did not show the same child labor in advertisement before the issue raised at media. (Gratton & Erickson, 2007)

After marketing strategies has been developed on humanitarian basis, reality based model show that the same company show negates the whole concept of child labor so that child labor rules and regulations of country cannot restrict the success of organization. The marketing strategy endorses the child labor only for the sake of advertising purpose not at team working at floor at all. (Gratton & Erickson, 2007)

Accountability and Evaluation

The only way to get the best formulated team while considering the team agenda at first priority so that team can achieve the through the task oriented people in leadership rather than performance centered only in peak hours. The best-formulated team and their relationship with the leaders as well as other team fellows initiate the integrated strategies so that the evaluation and accountability can ensure with the immediate and abrupt decision-making and procedural success level at biggest level. (MAnchester Matropoliton University, 2014)

The changing environment needs changed culture. Changed culture inculcates the change. Transparent environment is needed for applying strategies in that changed environment. The first and foremost strategy is leadership style that is going to ponder upon transparency level of operations that are going to proceed at organization level is also a good approach to identify the inefficiencies in organizations. (MAnchester Matropoliton University, 2014)

The successful accomplishment of this first step will provide a way to think about the exact knowledge about what is the purpose behind the team and this purpose is not just acknowledged at leadership but rather it takes an introspection step to give understanding of purpose behind team at all levels equally. (MAnchester Matropoliton University, 2014)

This justified step leads towards the accountabilities and transparency as well. The procedural change in leadership style according to different situation and situation-based strategy endorse the accountability so far. (MAnchester Matropoliton University, 2014)

Recognizing Efforts and Rewards

There are three steps in evaluation of reward behavior and initialization of reward system with respect to identification that the whole team formulation, team implementation and team evaluation process get success or no. The answer lies under the evaluation of three steps agenda indeed. The three steps or one can say they three aspects that are going to take initiative while searching of evaluating agenda in representing the team and team building techniques. (MAnchester Matropoliton University, 2014)

The first is the consistent strategy. This short-term tactics in reward system at team level is very motivating and persuading for all team members that are actually responsible for ultimate success of whole organization collectively. The individual efforts provide the platform to get recognition and consistent reward system can initiate the effectiveness culture

of teams in organizations. (MAnchester Matropoliton University, 2014)

The second elements while identification the inefficiencies at team level is also a question able argument that the specific target person only should get reward against his contribution because this method provides benefit and the dual strategy to tackle human behavior. The one way to reward the specific person and other is to abrogate the jealousy factor from root. The third element s the reward at adequate level so that appreciation culture can creates among the culture. (MAnchester Matropoliton University, 2014)

Role of Assertive and Brave Leadership

The role of assertive leadership is ultimate endorse the role o brave leadership that can bear the risk of taken by organizations and finally at big level. For example, Judy Estrin who was running their own 7 other ventures other than Evntilve always endorse the team building criteria in developing and evaluating team on humanitarian grounds rather than believes in employee as the only source to earn profit showed the argument about the role of brave leadership. The biggest risk is that she took every time while addressing the business related issue is the humanitarian base and this thing actually itself creates a risk for business. (Koenig, 2013)

Affectively Conveying Vision and Strategic Objectives

The strategic objective and achieving them is the difficult or the different task that may impede the reliance upon man made them and instead of this endorse the usage of machines but the performance and appraisal is the only thing that may create the sense of machine in man as for as productivity at reality bases concerns at major level. The objective meeting through different strategies upon this basis endorses the use of performance management at team base. (GUTNER, 2014)

Diversity in Team Membership

The last but not least strategy about the creation of such team based culture in organization for the sake of knowledge sharing among different team members. The vertical manner thinking between the team members selections is not only needed of hour so that the proper check can establish between the process and implementation and can identify the exact factor behind the efficiency of team. Team is the next level of group and this type of group always endorse the usage of skills at big levels and one can say at both vertical and horizontal manners. (Koenig, 2013)

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In the end, one can say that the whole process and arguments collection behind the identification of team ineffectiveness is because of most dynamic natured attitude of human in reality. The organizations made and create the working environment with effective teams and time-consuming efforts in building team with diversification of qualities and skills but as the matter of fact, the whole efforts go in vein when the face of failure is there at major level after huge problem sharing culture. The concluding myths while addressing the problems of individuals in teams are there at real basis and there are reasons through which the whole maxim of failure can understand at all.

From Proper groundwork for team building, diffusion or roles and responsibilities till diversity management at organization level is the only way to get reasons behind the in effectiveness as per the whole stated and cited sources explored at vast levels. The best and most needed framework for team building is the way to get success but the theoretical

way is so simple than actual way that is difficult and most daunting in nature.

One can hope that the way to extract success from such complex corporate culture is very difficult and this difficulty is the way in representing the team effectiveness as whole. The only one way to cope to up challenges in team building is to use dynamic level of leadership at organization level will provide the way to get rid of from all ill attach with the team building and team effectiveness.

REFERENCES

1. CULBERT, S. A. (2008). Get Rid of the Performance Review! Retrieved Apriil 06, 2015, from http://www.wsj.com/articles/SB122426318874844933

2. Gratton, L., & Erickson, T. J. (2007). Eight Ways to Build Collaborative Teams. Retrieved April 06, 2015, from https://hbr.org/2007/11/eight-ways-to-build-collaborative-teams/

3. GUTNER, T. (2014). For Team-Building Events, a New Ingredient: Fun. Retrieved April 06, 2015, from http://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052702304512504579493510133477106

4. Koenig, N. (2013). Judy Estrin: Addicted to team-building at Evntlive. Retrieved April 06, 2015, from http://www.bbc.com/news/business-24853536

5. MAnchester Matropoliton University. (2014). Recognizing, Rewarding and Engaging your Team. Retrieved April 06, 2015, from http://www2.mmu.ac.uk/media/mmuacuk/content/documents/human-resources/a-z/guidance-procedures-and-handbooks/Recognising_Rewarding_and_Engaging_your_Team.pdf